arXiv:1401.7775v1 [math.KT] 30 Jan 2014

Homological descent for motivic homology theories

Thomas Geisser*

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to give homological descent theorems for motivic homology theories (for example Suslin homology) and motivic Borel-Moore homology theories (for example higher Chow groups) for certain hypercoverings.

1 Introduction

We consider covariant functors $\mathcal{F}$ from the category of schemes separated and of finite type over a fixed noetherian scheme X and proper morphisms to the category of homologically positive complexes of abelian groups. We assume that for every abstract blow-up square, there is a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{F}(Z') \to \mathcal{F}(X') \oplus \mathcal{F}(Z) \to \mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathcal{F}(Z')[1] \tag{1}$$

in the derived category of abelian groups. Here an abstract blow-up square is a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \pi\downarrow \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array} \tag{2}$$

with π proper, i a closed embedding, and such that π induces an isomorphism $X' - Z' \to X - Z$. The morphism $Z \coprod X' \to X$ is then called an abstract blow-up. In particular, (taking $X' = \emptyset$), every closed embedding $Z \to X$ defined by a nil-potent ideal indudes a quasi-isomoprhism $\mathcal{F}(X') \to \mathcal{F}(X)$.

Recall that a proper cdh-cover is a proper map $p : X' \to X$ such for every point $x \in X$, there is a point $x' \in X'$ with $p(x') = x$ and $p^* : k(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(x')$, and a hyperenvelope is an augmented simplicial scheme $a : X_\bullet \to X$ such that for every n, the map $X_{n+1} \to (\mathrm{cosk}_n X_\bullet)_{n+1}$ is a proper cdh-cover. For a simplicial scheme $X_\bullet$, we simply write $\mathcal{F}(X_\bullet)$ for the total complex of the simplicial complex of abelian groups.

*Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furucho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan. e-mail: geisser@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Theorem 1.1. *For any functor as above, and for any hyperenvelope $a : X_\bullet \to X$, the augmentation map induces a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}(X_\bullet) \to \mathcal{F}(X).$$

In characteristic p, smooth hyperenvelopes are only known to exist under resolution of singularities. To remove this hypothesis, and to be able to use Gabber's refinement of de Jong's theorem on alterations, we consider l-hyperenvelopes. A proper ldh-cover is a proper surjection $p : X' \to X$ such that for every point $x \in X$ there is a point $x' \in X'$ with $p(x') = x$ and such $k(x')$ is a finite extension of degree prime to l of $p^*(k(x))$. An l-hyperenvelope is an augmented simplicial scheme $a : X_\bullet \to X$ such that $X_{n+1} \to (\mathrm{cosk}_n X_\bullet)_{n+1}$ is a proper ldh-cover for all n.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a functor to the category of complexes of $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$-modules, which satisfies in addition to the above the following property:*

For any finite flat map $p : X \to Y$ of degree d prime to l, there is a functorial pull-back map $p^* : \mathcal{F}(Y) \to \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $p_* p^*$ induces multiplication by d on homology, and which is compatible with base-change.

Then for any l-hyperenvelope $a : X_\bullet \to X$, the augmentation map induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}(X_\bullet) \to \mathcal{F}(X).$$

One can see that some hypothesis on the coefficients is necessary by considering the Čech-nerve $\mathrm{cosk}_0(L/k)$ of a finite field extension L/k of degree d: The map $H_0^S(L, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_0^S(k, \mathbb{Z})$ is multiplication by d on $\mathbb{Z}$, hence descent does not hold.

The proof of the theorems is along the lines SGA 4 Vbis §3. Gillet [8] used a similar argument to prove descent for higher Chow groups and K'-homology, but, using the notes of B.Conrad [2], we give a self-contained proof which in addition does not require the localization property.

As an application, we obtain the following descent theorem for the motivic homology groups $H_i(X, A(n))$, motivic Borel-Moore homology groups $H_i^{BM}(X, A(n))$, and higher Chow groups:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be of finite type over a perfect field, and A be an abelian group. Suppose that $a : X_\bullet \to X$ is a hyperenvelope and resolution of singularities holds, or that $a : X_\bullet \to X$ is a l-hyperenvelope and that A is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$-module. Then we have spectral sequences*

$$E^1_{p,q} = H_q(X_p, A(r)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(X, A(r));$$
$$E^1_{p,q} = H^{BM}_q(X_p, A(r)) \Rightarrow H^{BM}_{p+q}(X, A(r)).$$

For a scheme essentially of finite type over a Dedekind ring, we define higher Chow groups as the Zariski hypercohomology of Bloch's cycle complex (for schemes over fields, this agrees with the homology of the global sectons of the cycle complex).

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be of finite type over a field or a Dedekind ring, and A be an abelian group. Suppose that $a : X_\bullet \to X$ is a hyperenvelope, or that A is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$-module and $a : X_\bullet \to X$ an l-hyperenvelope. Then we have spectral sequences for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$E^1_{p,q} = CH_n(X_p, q, A) \Rightarrow CH_n(X, p+q, A).$$

The analogous results holds for K'-theory can be proven by the same method. We note that l-hyperenvelopes exist by by a theorem of Gabber:

Theorem 1.5. *For every scheme U of finite type over a perfect field k and any $l \neq \operatorname{char} k$, there exists an l-hyperenvelope $U_\bullet \to U$ such that $U_\bullet$ is an open simplicial subscheme of a simplicial scheme consisting of smooth projective schemes over k.*

We thank S.Kelly for helpful comments, discussions and explanation of his work.

2 Simplicial schemes and hyperenvelopes

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with finite limits. A simplicial object in $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $X_\bullet : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}$, where Δ is the simplicial category of finite ordered sets $[i] = \{0, \dots, i\}$ with non-decreasing maps. As usual, we write X_n for $X_\bullet([n])$ and $\alpha^* : X_j \to X_i$ instead of $X_\bullet(\alpha)$ for $\alpha : [i] \to [j]$. If $\Delta_{\leq n}$ is the full subcategory of Δ consisting of $[0], \dots, [n]$, then the restriction functor i_n^* from simplicial objects to restricted simplicial objects, i.e. functors $\Delta_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}$, has a left adjoint skeleton sk_n and a right adjoint coskeleton $(i_n)_* = \mathrm{cosk}_n$. We note that in the literature, the notation sk_n both appears as the name of the restriction functor [3] as well as the name of its left adjoint (e.g. SGA 4 V 7). By abuse of notation, we also denote the composition of $(i_n)_* i_n^*$ by cosk_n. In this notation, the adjunction map takes the form $X_\bullet \to \mathrm{cosk}_n X_\bullet$. Concretely,

$$(\mathrm{cosk}_n X_\bullet)_m = \lim_{D'_m} X_\phi$$

where D'_m is the category of non-decreasing maps $\phi : [i] \to [m]$ with $i \leq n$, $X_\phi = X_i$, and morphisms $\alpha : \phi \to \phi'$ the maps $[i] \to [i']$ compatible with the maps to $[m]$. This can also be expressed as follows [2, Cor.3.10]: Let D_m be the full subcategory of D'_m with objects increasing *injections* $\phi : [i] \to [m]$ for $i \leq n$ (which implies that morphisms $\alpha : \phi \to \phi'$ are also is injective). Then $(\mathrm{cosk}_n X_\bullet)_m = X_m$ for $m \leq n$ and, for $m > n$, $(\mathrm{cosk}_n X_\bullet)_m$ is the equalizer of the maps

$$s, t : \prod_{\phi \in ob\ D_m} X_\phi \to \prod_{\alpha \in mor\ D_m} X_\alpha \tag{3}$$

where $X_\alpha = X_\phi$ for $\alpha : [\phi] \to [\phi']$, and on the component indexed by α, s is the projection from X_ϕ, whereas t is the projection from $X_{\phi'}$ composed with α^*. In particular, we obtain

$$\mathrm{cosk}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{cosk}_n \mathrm{cosk}_m$$

for $n \leq m$. Similarly, for $n \leq m$, the restriction functor $(i_n^m)^*$ from m-truncated simplicial sets to n-truncated simplicial sets has a left adjoint and a right adjoint $(i_n^m)_*$. If $n \leq m$, then

$$\mathrm{cosk}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{cosk}_m \,\mathrm{cosk}_n \tag{4}$$

(this is wrongly stated in SGA 4 V 7.1.2). Indeed, we just apply the following to i_n^*:

$$(i_n)_* = (i_m)_*(i_n^m)_* = (i_m)_* i_m^*(i_n)_*.$$

A simplicial map $\Delta[1] \times X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ can be described as a collection of maps $h_\tau : X_j \to Y_j$ for every $\tau : [j] \to [1]$, such that for $\alpha : [i] \to [j]$ one has $\alpha^* h_\tau = h_{\tau\alpha}\alpha^*$. A simplicial homotopy between two maps $f, g : X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ is a simplicial map $\Delta[1] \times X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ such that $h_{c_0^j} = f$ and $h_{c_1^j} = g$, where $c_\epsilon^j : [j] \to [1]$ is the constant map to $\epsilon \in [1]$.

Lemma 2.1. *[15, Lemma 3.0.2.4] Let $f : A_\bullet \to B_\bullet$ and $g : B_\bullet \to A_\bullet$ be maps of simplicial schemes such that*

1. *$f_p : A_p \to B_p$ is inverse to g_p for $p < n$;*
2. *g_n is a section to $f_n : A_n \to B_n$;*
3. *$A_\bullet \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n A_\bullet$, and $B_\bullet \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n B_\bullet$.*

Then f and g are simplicial homotopy inverse to each other.

Proof. It suffices to prove that two simplicial maps $f, g : X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ on n-truncated schemes which are equal on $n-1$-truncation induce homotopic maps on the cosk_n. For $i \leq n$ and $\tau : [i] \to [1]$, we define $h_\tau = f_i$ if $\tau = c_0$ and $h_\tau = g_i$ otherwise. If easily follows from the first condition that for $i, j \leq n$ and $\alpha : [i] \to [j]$ we have $\alpha^* h_\tau = h_{\tau\alpha}\alpha^*$. In degrees $p > n$ we define the map h_τ for $\tau : [p] \to [1]$ by the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_p & \xrightarrow{h_\tau} & Y_p \\ \| & & \| \\ \lim_{\phi \in obD'_m} X_\phi & \xrightarrow{h_{\tau\phi}} & \lim_{\phi \in obD'_m} Y_\phi \end{array}$$

where $X_\phi = X_i$ for $\phi : [i] \to [p]$ and . The maps $h_{\tau\phi} : X_i \to Y_i$ are compatible with the inverse system because for $\alpha : [j] \to [i]$ we have $\alpha^* h_{\tau\phi} = h_{\tau\phi\alpha}\alpha^* : X_i \to Y_j$ by definition of h. It is now easy to see that this induces a map of simplicial objects, i.e. that it is compatible with the maps induced by $[q] \to [p]$. On the other hand, taking $h_{c_0^p}$ we recover $(\mathrm{cosk}_n f)_p$, and taking $h_{c_1^p}$ we recover $(\mathrm{cosk}_n g)_p$. Indeed, the maps $h_{\tau\phi}$ between inverse systems will be the system of maps f_i and g_i, respectively. □

We will apply this in combination with the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *[15, Lemma 3.0.2.3] Let $f, g : X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ simplicial homotopic and $\mathcal{F}$ a functor to an abelian category. Then $\mathcal{F}(f)$ and $\mathcal{F}(g)$ are homotopic maps of the associated chain complexes.*

We apply the above mostly to the category of schemes over a fixed scheme X. If we want to emphasize the dependence on the base scheme X, we write $\mathrm{cosk}_n(X_\bullet/X)$.

We often identify simplicial or multi-simplicial objects $A_\bullet$ in an abelian category with its corresponding chain complex without notice.

By [17, Lemma 5.8], every proper cdh-cover can be dominated by a composition of abstract blow-ups. Similarly, we have

Proposition 2.3. *Every proper ldh-cover $Y \to X$ of a noetherian scheme can be dominated by a composition $S \to T \to X$, where $S \to T$ is a finite flat map of degree prime to l, and $T \to X$ a composition of abstract blow-ups.*

Proof. The proof is in the spirit of [5], [17], and [11, Prop.2.4]. We proceed by induction on the dimension of X. Base-changing with a proper cdh-cover, we can assume that X is reduced and integral. Let η be a point of Y which maps to the generic point of X and such that $[k(\eta) : k(X)]$ is prime to l. Let $\tilde{Y}$ be the closure of η in Y; $\tilde{Y}$ is generically finite of degree prime to l over X. By the flatification theorem [14], there is a blow-up $X' \to X$ with center Z of smaller dimension such that the strict transform $Y' \to X'$ of $\tilde{Y} \to X$ is flat, hence finite flat surjective of degree prime to l. The induction hypothesis applied to the base change $Y \times_X Z \to Z$ gives a factorization $S' \to T' \to Z$ whose union with $Y' \to X' \to X$ is a factorization as required. □

3 Proof of the main theorem

3.1 Čech covers

We assume that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 or 1.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f : X_0 \to X$ be a proper cdh-covering. Then the augmentation map $\mathrm{cosk}_0(X_0/X) \to X$ induces a quasi-isomorphism on $\mathcal{F}(-)$. The same holds for proper ldh-coverings if $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$-modules.*

Proof. We give the proof for the ldh-case and complexes, the cdh-case follows by erasing parts (b) of the proof.

a) Given an abstract blow-up square (2), if the statement of the proposition holds for the pull-back to Z', X' and Z, then it also holds for X. Indeed, we obtain proper ldh-coverings $Z_0 = Z \times_X X_0 \to Z$, $Z'_0 = Z' \times_X X_0 \to Z'$ and $X'_0 = X' \times_X X_0 \to X'$. Since the functor cosk_n commutes with fiber products,

we obtain on each level an abstract blow-up square upon applying the coskeleton functor. Thus we obtain a map of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{cosk}_0(Z_0'/Z')) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{cosk}_0(Z_0/Z)) \oplus \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{cosk}_0(X_0'/X')) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{cosk}_0(X_0/X)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{F}(Z') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(Z) \oplus \mathcal{F}(X') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(X)
\end{array}$$

and if two maps are quasi-isomorphisms then so is the third.

b) If $p : X' \to X$ is finite flat of degree d prime to l, and the statement of the theorem holds for the pull-back to X', then it also holds for X. Indeed consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{cosk}_0(X_0'/X')) & \xrightarrow{p_*'} & \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{cosk}_0(X_0/X)) \\
\cong\downarrow f_*' & & \downarrow f_* \\
\mathcal{F}(X') & \xrightarrow{p_*} & \mathcal{F}(X).
\end{array}$$

By hypothesis, p_*p^* induces multiplication by the invertible number d, hence p_* is split surjective on homology. Since $\mathrm{cosk}_0(X_0/X) \times_X X' \cong \mathrm{cosk}_0(X_0'/X')$, the pull-back along p is compatible with all the simplicial structure maps, hence compatibly split by $\frac{1}{d}p_*$ on each level. This implies that $f_*'(p')^* = p^*f_*$, and that $p_*'(p')^*$ induces multiplication by the invertible number d on homology, so that $(p')^*$ is split injective on homology. Finally, since $p_*f_*' = f_*p_*'$ is surjective on homology, so is f_*, and since $f_*'(p')^* = p^*f_*$ is injective on homology, so is f_*.

c) If f has a section $s : X \to X_0$, then the proposition follows using the contracting homotopy $s \times \mathrm{id} : X_0^{\times p} \to X_0^{\times p+1}$, where the fiber product is taken over X.

d) In general, by Prop.2.3, we can dominate $X_0 \to X$ be a sequence $X' \to X$ of abstract blow-ups and finite flat maps of degree prime to l. By a) and b) and induction of the dimension, it suffices to prove the theorem after base change to X'. But then the map $X_0 \times_X X' \to X'$ has a section induced by the map $X' \to X_0$. □

3.2 The general case

We now give the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in the spirit of SGA 4 Vbis §3 and [8]. Given the hyperenvelope or l-hyperenvelope $X_\bullet \to X$, let $X_\bullet^n = \mathrm{cosk}_n X_\bullet$ and consider the sequence

$$X_\bullet \xrightarrow{u_n} X_\bullet^n \xrightarrow{v_n} X_\bullet^{n-1} \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{v_2} X_\bullet^1 \xrightarrow{v_1} X_\bullet^0 \xrightarrow{v_0} X. \tag{5}$$

The map u_n is an isomorphism in degrees $\leq n$, so by boundedness of $\mathcal{F}(-)$ it suffices to show that the maps v_n induce quasi-isomorphisms on $\mathcal{F}(-)$. The case v_0 is Proposition 3.1. By (4), v_n satisfies the condition of the following proposition for $n \geq 1$:

Proposition 3.2. *[15, lemma 3.3.3.2] Let $f : K_\bullet \to L_\bullet$ be a map of simplicial schemes such that*

1. *$K_p \to L_p$ is an isomorphism for $p < n$;*
2. *$K_n \to L_n$ is a proper cdh-covering (ldh-covering);*
3. *$K_\bullet \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n K_\bullet$, and $L_\bullet \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n L_\bullet$.*

Then $\mathcal{F}(K_\bullet) \to \mathcal{F}(L_\bullet)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. (see [2, Theorem 7.17]) Let $[K/L]^p_\bullet$ be the pth fiber product of $K_\bullet$ over $L_\bullet$. Consider the bisimplicial scheme $Z_{\bullet,\bullet}$ with the $(q+1)$-fold fiber product $Z_{p,q} = K_p \times_{L_p} \cdots \times_{L_p} K_p$ in bidegree (p,q) such that the pth column $Z_{p,\bullet}$ is $\mathrm{cosk}_0(K_p/L_p)$, and the qth row is $Z_{\bullet,q}$ is $[K/L]^{q+1}_\bullet$. In particular, the pth column is K_p for $p < n$ by hypothesis. We have the vertical augmentation $\tilde{f} : Z_{\bullet,\bullet} \to L_\bullet$ induced by $f : Z_{\bullet,0} = K_\bullet \to L_\bullet$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
K_0 \times_{L_0} K_0 & \longleftarrow & K_1 \times_{L_1} K_1 & \longleftarrow & K_2 \times_{L_2} K_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
K_0 & \longleftarrow & K_1 & \longleftarrow & K_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle f_0}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f_1}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f_2}\downarrow & & \\
L_0 & \longleftarrow & L_1 & \longleftarrow & L_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.1 and the following lemma, we can see column by column that $\tilde{f}$ induces a quasi-isomorphism on $\mathcal{F}(-)$:

Lemma 3.3. *[15, Lemma 3.3.3.3] Under the assumptions of Prop. 3.2, all maps $K_m \to L_m$ are proper cdh-coverings (resp. ldh-coverings).*

Proof. By (3), we have a map of equalizers:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K_m & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\phi \in ob\ D_m} K_\phi & \xrightarrow{s,t} & \prod_{\alpha \in mor\ D_m} K_\alpha \\
\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow \\
L_m & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\phi \in ob\ D_m} L_\phi & \xrightarrow{s,t} & \prod_{\alpha \in mor\ D_m} L_\alpha,
\end{array}$$

where D runs through the injections $\phi : [i] \to [m]$, $i \leq n$ and $K_\phi := K_i$, $L_\phi := L_i$. It suffices to show that the fiber product of the left square $P = L_m \times_{\prod L_\phi} \prod K_\phi$ has the universal property of the equalizer, because then $K_m \to L_m$ is a base-change of a proper cdh-covering (ldh-covering), hence is itself a proper cdh-cover (ldh-covering).

Given a map $u : T \to \prod_{\phi \in D_m} K_\phi$ with $su = tu$, we have to show that there is a unique map $T \to P$ such that composition with the projection $P \to$

$\prod_{\phi \in D_m} K_\phi$ is u. By definition of L_m and P, it suffices to show that $sfu = tfu$, or alternatively that $fsu = ftu$, and we can do this factor by factor. Given $\alpha : [i] \to [i']$, there are two cases: If $i < n$, then the two maps agree because $K_i \to L_i$ is an isomorphism by hypothesis. If $i = n$, then also $i' = n$ and α is the identity, hence $s = t$ trivially. □

We apply Lemma 2.1 to f any of the face (i.e. projection) maps $[K/L]^{p+1}_\bullet \to [K/L]^p_\bullet$, and g a degeneracy (i.e. diagonal) map which is a section of this face map. Note that the hypothesis $K_\bullet \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n K_\bullet$, and $L_\bullet \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n L_\bullet$ are preserved under fiber products (since cosk_n is a right adjoint), hence $[K/L]^p_\bullet \cong \mathrm{cosk}_n [K/L]^p_\bullet$. Lemma 2.1 implies that all face maps $[K/L]^{p+1}_\bullet \to [K/L]^p_\bullet$ induce quasi-isomorphism on $\mathcal{F}(-)$ which are equal on homology, hence taking alternating sum of projection maps, we see that the maps between rows of $Z_{\bullet,\bullet}$ induce alternatingly the zero map on homology and quasi-isomorphisms on $\mathcal{F}(-)$. This implies that the inclusion $K_\bullet \to Z_{\bullet,\bullet}$ as the 0th row induces a quasi-isomorphism on $\mathcal{F}(-)$, hence so does the composition

$$f : K_\bullet \to Z_{\bullet,\bullet} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} L_\bullet.$$

□

4 Applications

4.1 Existence of l-hyperenvelopes

For X a noetherian scheme, and l a prime number, a morphism $h : X' \to X$ is called an l-alteration if h is proper, surjective, generically finite, sends each maximal point to a maximal point, and the degrees of the residual extensions $k(x')/k(x)$ over each maximal point x of X are prime to l.

Theorem 4.1. *[9, X Theorem 2.1] (Gabber). Let k be a field, l a prime number different from the characteristic of k, X separated and finite type over k. Then there exists a finite extension k' of k of degree prime to l, and a projective l-alteration $h : \tilde{X} \to X$ above $\operatorname{Spec} k' \to \operatorname{Spec} k$, such that $\tilde{X}$ is smooth and quasi-projective over k'.*

Corollary 4.2. *In the situation of the theorem, there is a proper ldh-cover over $\tilde{X} \to X$ with $\tilde{X}$ regular, and quasi-projective, separated and of finite type over k.*

Proof. Covering X by its reduced irreducible components we can assume that X is integral, and proceed by noetherian induction. Let $\tilde{X}$ be as in the theorem, and take X' the closure of a point of $\tilde{X}$ mapping to the generic point of X such that the degree of the residue extension if finite prime to l. Let Z be the closed subscheme where $X' \to X$ is not flat, then by induction hypothesis we can find

a proper ldh-cover $Z' \to Z$ with Z' regular, and $Z' \coprod X' \to X$ is the required ldh-covering. □

To prove Theorem 1.5, we apply the method of [3, 6.2.5] to the Corollary.

4.2 Motivic theories

Let k be a perfect field. For a presheaf with transfers $\mathcal{P}$ on Sm/k, recall that $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_*(\mathcal{P})$ is the complex of presheaves with transfers given by $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_i(\mathcal{P})(U) = \mathcal{P}(U \times \Delta^i)$ and boundary maps given by alternating sum of pull-backs along embeddings of faces. The complex of abelian groups $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_*(\mathcal{P})(k)$ is denoted by $C_*(\mathcal{P})$.

Recall that the cdh-topology is the coarsest Grothendieck topology generated by Nisnevich covers and proper cdh-covers, and the ldh-topology is the coarsest Grothendieck topology generated by cdh-covers and finite flat maps of degree prime to l.

Theorem 4.3. *1) Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a presheaf with transfers such that $\mathcal{P}_{cdh} = 0$. Then under resolution of singularities, the complex of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers $\underline{C}_*(\mathcal{P})_{Nis}$ is acyclic.*

2) If $\mathcal{P}$ is a presheaf of $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-modules with transfers such that $\mathcal{P}_{ldh} = 0$, then $\underline{C}_*(\mathcal{P})_{Nis}$ is acyclic.

Proof. This is [4, Theorem 5.5(2)], and its extension by Kelly [10, Thm. 5.3.1]. In loc.cit. $\mathcal{P}$ is supposed to be a presheaf with transfers on all schemes, but replacing $\mathcal{P}$ by its left Kan extension to all schemes does not change $\mathcal{P}$ on smooth schemes. Moreover, loc.cit. assumes that $\mathcal{P}_{cdh} = 0$, but in fact for a sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-modules, $\mathcal{P}_{ldh} = 0$ implies that $\mathcal{P}_{cdh} = 0$. □

Recall that a morphism $f : X \to Y$ is called equidimensional of relative dimension r if it is of finite type, if every irreducible component of X dominates an irreducible component of Y, and if $\dim_x(p^{-1}p(x)) = r$ for every point $x \in X$. A morphism is equidimensional if and only if it can be locally factored as $X \to \mathbb{A}^r_Y \to Y$, with the first map quasi-finite and dominant on each irreducible component. A useful criterion is that a flat morphism of finite type is equidimensional of dimension r if all irreducible components of all generic fibers have dimension r. For any scheme X over k, let $z_{equi}(X, r)$ be the presheaf with transfers on Sm/k which associates to U the free abelian group on those closed integral subschemes of $U \times X$ which are equidimensional of relative dimension r over U, and $c_{equi}(X, 0)$ the subpresheaf with transfers of $z_{equi}(X, 0)$ generated by those subschemes which are finite over U.

Proposition 4.4. *For any scheme W, the functors $C_*(z_{equi}(- \times W, r))$ and $C_*(c_{equi}(- \times W, 0))$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.*

Proof. By the existence of transfers, a finite flat map $f : X \to Y$ induces a pull-back map such that the composition with push-forward is multiplication by the

degree, and which is compatible with base change. To show the exactness of the triangle resulting from an abstract blow-up, it suffices by Theorem 4.3 to show that the functors $\mathcal{P} = z_{equi}(- \times W, r)$ and $\mathcal{P} = c_{equi}(- \times W, 0)$ send abstract blow-up squares to short exact sequences of ldh-sheaves (or cdh-sheaves under resolution of singularities). Replacing X, X', Z, Z' by its product with W, we can drop W from the notation. Only the surjectivity of $\mathcal{P}(X') \oplus \mathcal{P}(Z) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is difficult.

We repeat the platification argument of Suslin-Voevodsky [17, Theorem 4.7] and Friedlander-Voevodsky [4, Theorem 5.11]. Given a section $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)(U)$, we need to find a cdh-covering (ldh-covering) $V \to U$ such that $S|_V$ is in the image of $\mathcal{P}(X')(V) \oplus \mathcal{P}(Z)(V)$. This is clear if $S \subseteq U \times Z$. Otherwise let T be the closure of $S \cap U \times (X - Z) \subseteq U \times (X - Z) \cong U \times (X' - X' \times_X Z)$ in $U \times X'$.

Then T may not be equidimensional, but by the flatification theorem [14], we can find a blow-up $U' \to U$ such that the proper transform T' of T in $U' \times X'$ is flat over U'. By Corollary 4.2, we can find a cdh-cover (respectively ldh-cover) $V \to U'$ with V smooth, and T^* be the pull-back of T' to V. Then $T^* \to V$ is flat, hence equidimensional. □

We define motivic Borel-Moore homology to be

$$H_i^{BM}(X, A(n)) = \begin{cases} H_{i-2n}C_*(z_{equi}(X, n) \otimes A) & n \geq 0; \\ H_{i-2n}C_*(z_{equi}(X \times \mathbb{A}^{-n}, 0) \otimes A) & n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Under resolution of singularities, this agrees with the definition of Friedlander-Voevodsky [4, §9] by [4, Thm.5.5(1)]. Recall that motivic homology is defined by

$$H_i(X, A(n)) = \begin{cases} H^{2n-i}_{\{0\}}(\mathbb{A}^n, \underline{C}_*(c_{equi}(X, 0) \otimes A)) & n \geq 0; \\ H_{i-2n-1}C_*(\frac{c_{equi}(X \times (\mathbb{A}^{-n} - \{0\}), 0)}{c_{equi}(X \times \{1\}, 0)} \otimes A) & n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof of theorem 1.3: For Borel-Moore homology, the theorem follow from Prop. 4.4. For homology in negative degrees, the theorem follows because the inclusion $c_{equi}(X \times \{1\}, 0) \to c_{equi}(X \times (\mathbb{A}^{-n} - \{0\}), 0)$ is canonically split by the structure map, so that the conclusion of Proposition 4.4 applies to this situation.

Finally, motivic homology in positive degrees is the homology of the cone of the split injection

$$C_*(c_{equi}(X, 0)) \otimes A \to R\Gamma(\mathbb{A}^n, \underline{C}_*(c_{equi}(X, 0) \otimes A)).$$

Consider the Godement resolution for the Nisnevich topology of the affine space. We can bound it, because the cohomological dimension of $\mathbb{A}^n$ is $-n$. Furthermore, by [13, Example 6.20], the terms of this resolution are still presheaves with transfers. Since the Godement resolution is functorial and sends short exact sequences of sheaves to short exact sequences of sheaves, it inherits the hypothesis of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 from $c_{equi}(X, 0)$. □

Proof of theorem 1.4: This follows easily from the localization property of higher Chow groups [1][12].

4.3 Other applications

In [7] we show that Parshin's conjecture implies that for a smooth variety over a finite field, higher Suslin homology vanishes rationally, i.e. $H_p^S(Y, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for smooth Y and $p > 0$. This implies that for every l-hyperenvelope consisting of smooth schemes there is an isomorphism

$$H_p^S(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_p(H_0^S(X_\bullet, \mathbb{Q})).$$

In [6], we use Corollary 1.3 to show that for a normal connected variety X over an algebraically closed field, the Albanese map

$$alb_X : H_0(X, \mathbb{Z})^0 \to \mathrm{Alb}_X(k)$$

is an isomorphism on torsion groups away from the characteristic, and at the characteristic under resolution of singularities.

References

[1] Bloch, Spencer, Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory. Adv. in Math. 61 (1986), no. 3, 267–304.

[2] Conrad, Brian, Cohmological descent, Preprint 2003.

[3] Deligne, Pierre, Theorie de Hodge III, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 44 (1974), 5-77.

[4] Friedlander, Eric, Voevodsky, Vladimir, Bivariant cycle homology.Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, 138-187, Ann. of Math. Stud., 143, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.

[5] Geisser, Thomas, Arithmetic cohomology over finite fields and special values of ζ-functions. Duke Math. J. 133 (2006), no. 1, 27–57.

[6] Geisser, Thomas, Rojtman's theorem for normal schemes, Preprint 2014.

[7] Geisser, Thomas, On Suslin's singular homology and cohomology. Doc. Math. (2010), Extra volume: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday, 223-249.

[8] Gillet, Henri, Homological descent for the K-theory of coherent sheaves. Algebraic K-theory, number theory, geometry and analysis (Bielefeld, 1982), 80-103, Lecture Notes in Math., 1046, Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[9] Illusie Luc; Laszlo, Yves; Orgogozo, Fabrice, Travaux de Gabber sur l'uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents. Séminarie à l'École polytechnique 2006-2008

[10] Kelly, Shane, Triangulated categories of motives in positive characteristics, arxiv.org 1305.5349.pdf.

[11] Kelly, Shane, Vanishing of negative K-theory in positive characteristic, arxiv.org 1112.5206v4.pdf.

[12] Levine, Marc, Techniques of localization in the theory of algebraic cycles. J. Algebraic Geom. 10 (2001), no. 2, 299–363.

[13] Mazza, Carlo; Voevodsky, Vladimir; Weibel, Charles, Lecture notes on motivic cohomology. Clay Mathematics Monographs, 2. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2006. ISBN: 978-0-8218-3847-1; 0-8218-3847-4

[14] Raynaud, Michel; Gruson, Laurent, Criteres de platitude et de projectivite. Techniques de "platification" d'un module. Invent. Math. 13 (1971), 1-89.

[15] B.Saint-Donat, B., Techniques de descentes cohomologique, in: Artin, Michael, Grothendieck, Alexandre, Verdier, J.K, Theorie des topos et cohomologie etale des schemas. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 270. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

[16] Suslin, Andrej, Voevodsky, Vladimir, Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties. Invent. Math. 123 (1996), no. 1, 61–94.

[17] Suslin, Andrej, Voevodsky, Vladimir, Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients. The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), 117-189, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 548, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.